

From: HWA Secretary <info@hamptonwick.org.uk>
Sent: 05 August 2026 10:18
To: 'Carl Gellard' <Carl.Gellard@richmondandwandsworth.gov.uk>
Cc: 'Millard, Jim (Cllr)' <Cllr.J.Millard@richmond.gov.uk>; 'Christopher Roberts-Wray' <C.Roberts-Wray@richmondandwandsworth.gov.uk>; 'Parking Policy' <ParkingPolicy@richmondandwandsworth.gov.uk>; 'Liz Tidhar (Cllr)' <Cllr.L.Tidhar@richmond.gov.uk>; 'Mick Potter' <Mick.Potter@richmondandwandsworth.gov.uk>; 'Helen Baxter (Cllr)' <Cllr.H.Baxter@richmond.gov.uk>; 'Parking Policy' <ParkingPolicy@richmondandwandsworth.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: URGENT - Problems with Hampton Wick CPZ X Review / Consultation

Dear Mr Gellard

We have now had an opportunity to consider the officer report published on 29 July 2026.

We were surprised to read that there were only 7 responses to the consultation.

We have investigated further and established that a far greater number of people responded. Those people do not believe that their comments are reflected in the 7 responses detailed in the report.

In more detail:

1. We have identified 7 specific examples of local residents or businesses who believe that their comments have been ignored. In two cases, we have a contemporaneous record of the submitted responses and, in one of those cases, we have a screenshot of the confirmation that the response was sent via the portal. In a further two cases, the residents concerned have confirmations of the submission of their responses.
2. In addition to those examples, we have a copy of an e-mail response from another resident to parkingpolicy@richmond.gov.uk which is not reflected in the report.
3. We are aware anecdotally that other residents in Park Road, Vicarage Road and Vineyard Row believe that they responded and that their comments are not reflected in the report. One Park Road resident thinks that they have identified 10 to 20 neighbours who are in this position. It would appear that LBRuT has failed to conduct a proper consultation, specifically by failing to take into account properly submitted responses.

This is particularly concerning as the responses will have raised issues as to the impacts of the proposed changes that were not immediately apparent from the terms of the consultation (which, you will recall, was one of our original concerns). Those issues are not addressed at all by the report because it takes no account of the submitted responses raising them.

You will appreciate that the failure to take into account responses submitted in the course of the consultation vitiates both the report and the decision taken in reliance on it.

Given the potential seriousness of this matter (and, noting that, were the Hampton Wick Association minded to bring a judicial review of the decision made on 9 July 2026, there is a strict 3 month time limit in which to do so), we would ask for an immediate response **by 5pm on Friday 14 August 2026**.

If we do not hear from you by then, we will send a formal letter before claim in accordance with the Pre-Action Protocol for Judicial Review.

Yours sincerely

Mark Merrington
Vice-chair
The Hampton Wick Association